Spectrum of Engineering Sciences
ISSN (e) 3007-3138 (p) 3007-312X Volume 3, Issue 12, 2025

TRANSFORMING GOVERNMENT SERVICE DELIVERY THROUGH ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

Muhammad Sohail Munir[*1], Ibne Saud[2], Dr Naima Mubeen[3], Nida Anjum[4], Gulzar Ahmad[5]

[*1]*Faculty,Punjab Institute of Management and Professional Development,Government o f the Punjab*
[2]*PhD Research Scholar, National College of Business Administration and Economics Lahore*
[3]*National College of Business Administration and Economics Lahore*
[4,5]*School of Computer Science, Minhaj University Lahore*

[*1]suheil@ymail.com, [2]aghaibnesaud@gmail.com, [3]naimamubeen@gmail.com, [4]nidaanjum716@gmail.com, [5]gulzarahmad.cs@mul.edu.pk

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18193920

Keywords
Artificial intelligence, government service delivery, digital governance, public administration, developing countries, e-government

Article History
Received: 11 October 2025
Accepted: 21 November 2025
Published: 31 December 2025

Corresponding Author: *
Muhammad Sohail Munir

Abstract

The use of artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming how people receive publicly provided services, how authorities are run, whether citizens engage or not, and support the use of evidence in making decisions. The current paper explores the radical effect of AI on the service delivery of the public sector based on a comparative diagnosis of emerging and developed markets. Under the qualitative research design, the study relies on thematic analysis and cross-case examination of policy reports, official government reports, and secondary publications to identify the main trends, consequences, and issues related to the use of AI in the sphere of public administration.

Evidential evidence confirms that AI significantly reduces administrative overheads through automation, accelerates the provision of services and creates a shift toward traditional bureaucracy to Phoenix-like digital services. Predictive analytics come out as an important tool in the foreseeable governance of health, environment and resource allocation provision, thus allowing governments to prevent risks in anticipating crises. The research also notes that AI has the capability to increase accountability and openness with a strong system of governance, ethical, and protection systems surrounding the data. However, there are still issues related to the unscalability and sustainability of AI initiatives like data fragmentation, institutional capacity limits, shortage of skills, and some ethical issues that persist, particularly in the context of developing nations.

As the end-result, the study concludes that AI effects on governmental service delivery depend not only on the level of technological maturity, but also on the willingness and readiness of the institutions, their strategy, as well as on the universality of governance practices. This contribution can be helpful in enriching the scholarly debate on the topic of AI-driven transformation of the sphere of public creation as well as offer Ontario-specific policy advice aimed at helping implement AI in an appropriate and accountable way and create value in a public.

INTRODUCTION

In the past few years, there has been an aggressive rollout of a phase of digital transformation by the governments of the world in the accelerated development of Artificial Intelligence (AI). As the public institutions are known for the ever-growing expectations of citizens, complex socio-economic challenges, and increasing pressure for transparency and efficiency, AI has emerged as a powerful enabler in the redefinition of government service delivery. Since predictive analytics up to intelligent automation, conversational interfaces to real-time decision support systems, the range of artificial-intelligence technologies is radically transforming the way states think about, administer, and deliver public services. This change in technology is not the one to introduce new tools - it is the one of changing the structure, at the level of the model of the governance, the processes of administration, and the relationship between citizen and government.

AI has the potential to offer unprecedented gains to optimize workflow, reduce delays in delivery service, minimize potential of errors due to human inputs and offer accessibility and delivery for underserved populations. A combination of machine learning, natural language processing, and data-driven algorithms into governmental solutions facilitates the opportunity of predicting needs of citizens, allocating resources more efficiently, and delivering services more precisely and in a more personalized way. Artificial intelligence can provide an attractive way to more intelligent, effective and inclusive governance in countries with widespread public-sector reforms, such as continuing digital governance and citizen-facilitation efforts in Pakistan [1].

However, there are a lot of obstacles on the way of the implementation of AI in the sphere of the public administration. The issues of data privacy, transparency of algorithms, digital literacy, organization willingness, and ethical issues are significant barriers to adoption. Creating responsibility, justice, and trust of the AI-based systems by the citizens is a vital step towards the sustainable changes. As a result, policymakers, working managers, and researchers must know the opportunities and the limitations of AI [2].

This paper explores ways AI can transform government services delivery by focusing on several high-profile case studies at the international and national levels that show potential opportunities of administrative innovation and policy actions that are required to make the adoption responsible and effective. By matching the emergent AI potential with the overarching goals of governance in the public sector, the study will help to enrich the current discussions of the digital transformation, as well as to deliver the evidence-based platform, on which the government policies can be based in the future.

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

The current governments are working in a world of pace of technological advancement, increasing demands of the citizenry, challenged finances and an intricate administration system. The dependence of traditional models of service delivery that relies on manual procedures, physical records and face-to-face communication, cannot sustain the need of a digitally connected world. Citizens are also expecting to receive services that are fast, accessible, transparent and multi-channel. To counter this, most countries have embarked on the digital governance programs to usher in future of public administration.

One of the primary components of this change has become Artificial Intelligence or AI. In comparison with the past waves of digitalization, in which data mostly automated only the repetitive processes, AI entails the ability to learn and engage with data, give trends and generate insights in the spot moment. This allows the public institutions with the foresight into the demand of such services to foresee and automate their decision processes, hence making the entire performance of administration more efficient. Governments are also using AI to solve such issues as human resource lack, slow service processing and poor policy implementation consistency.

The concept of AI in governance systems in the developing countries echoes the national programs of countries, including e-governance, the smart service center, the digital public infrastructure, etc. These are the reforms aimed to hold government more accountable, reduce the rates of corruption, and increase the trust of the citizens on the government institutions. An additional opportunity behind the implementation of AI driven solutions on a large

scale is embodied in the fact that more and more data is available, that connectivity is getting better, and cloud based platforms are getting implemented.

A huge digital transformation artificially intelligented (AI) sponsored by governments in various parts of the globe is underway. Traditional, public service-delivery systems-often defined by manual processes and bureaucratic delays, and an inconsistency of standards-are becoming less and less able to live up to the expectations of contemporary civic society which expects efficiency, transparency, and convenience. As a result, both developing and developed countries are taking on the use of AI both to update the structure of governance and to upgrade citizen-centric forms of service [3].

AI presents capabilities that include, automated decision making, predictive analytics, natural language processing, and intelligent process optimization so that governments can rethink the delivery of services. For instance, India has AI enabled citizen help lines and grievance redressal systems; Rwanda has automated civil registration processes; and Brazil uses AI models to boost the targeting of social protection programmes (World Bank, 2020). In some developed countries, Estonia's e-governance model incorporates AI for digital identity softening, automation of public services and in Singapore and United Kingdom for fraud, intelligent mobility and analysis of public policies in real time [4].

In developing countries, with their inherent constraints of limited resources, workforce shortage, and slow service cycle in public administration gives way for AI to leapfrog ordinary barriers of development. Fostering favorable ground for AI-enabled governance innovations is improved digital infrastructure, mobile penetration and data availability - UN E-Government Survey 2022. Meanwhile, developed countries are still experimenting with advanced uses of AI to improve the accuracy of their policies, reduce public spending, and build public trust [5].

Such movement on the international level indicates the increasing role of AI to transform the manner in which services are provided by the governments. The appropriate and responsible public sector reforms can be explained by a better perspective on the numerous models applied in other countries and opportunities and risks that AI brings to governance.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The current research by the public administration has taken a center stage due to the potential of enhancing efficiency, transparency and accountability in government administration through Artificial Intelligence (AI). Available literature also accentuates that a portion of transformative impacts of AI is based on the reality that AI possesses the capacity to process large quantities of information and automation of the routine administrative procedures and assistive decision-making processes [6]. With governments all over the world striving to enter into a digital transformation process, a line of scholars is specifically emphasizing the fact that AI is not one of the technological instruments anymore, it is a strategic facilitator of governance reform [7].

It has been shown that the developed countries have been leading in implementing AI-supported government services. The e-governance model of Estonia encompasses the application of machine learning in the operation of digital identity, the automation of services and active delivery of services - a solution the digital states often point to as a model [8]. Also, Singapore applies AI to the planning of cities, traffic optimization, etc., and healthcare, demonstrating how a more developed technological base facilitates the use of AI partnership [9]. In the United Kingdom AI is applied to detect fraud, assess programs through taxation and social welfare, not only enhance the accuracy but also reduce the administrative load [10].

Meanwhile, in the literature on the developing countries, there is a focus on opportunities as well as inherent systemic constraints. Studies on India emphasize on the use of AI-powered chatbot in the public gruvance system as well as Aadhaar-enabled social services that helps in minimizing the service delays and inclusiveness [11]. Rwanda's automated civil registration and the use of drones for medical delivery are examples to include to show how developing nations are able to innovate despite their limited resources [3]. However, scholars are also reporting that developing countries are often faced with some institutional barriers such as poor

infrastructural, poor data systems, lack of skilled human resources, or lack of digital literacy [12].

Another strand of literature is based around the administrative automation made possible by AI, and in particular the impact that has been made in reducing the inefficiencies of bureaucracy. Researchers argue the combination of intelligent automation through machine learning and robotic process automation or RPA can drastically reduce the processing time and improve the accuracy of government work-flows. Countries like Denmark and The Netherlands are using A.I. powered document verification systems for faster processing of an application for permits, licenses and social benefits [13]. Such systems reduce reliance on manual checkups and relieve the human staffs from making complex decisions in which AI systems can play a role in redefining the back-end administrative processes, which usually act as the bottlenecks in public service delivery [14].

Scholars have also been looking at the role of AI in citizen engagement and public participation under a similar context and highlighted that the role of digital governance is not restricted to efficiency and strengthening democratic processes. Research from Finland and South Korea record the actual use by governments of A.I.-enabled sentiment analysis, online participation portals and real-time feedback systems that help them understand what the citizens are concerned about and to better tailor policies that address their concerns [15]. This literature suggests that public trust, transparency and responsiveness of governance can be improved through the use of AI capable participatory platforms which are deployed in an ethical way.

In addition, there is an increasing field of research looking at AI in predictive governance where machine learning models can be used to help governments anticipate and control emerging risks. Canada for instance works to wildfire management and public health surveillance through predictive AI and experimentation with agriculture forecasting using AI, as an approach to increase food security programs [16, 17], in Kenya. These cases demonstrate the power of AI in helping governments go beyond reactive service delivery and move into a proactive delivery mode. However, researchers warn, predictive systems are easy to reproduce latent inequalities when the data sets they are based on are historically biased and structurally distorted [18].

Another theme that is important is that institutional readiness and capacity building is required for AI adoption. Public sector transformation is not all about investment in technology and requires skilled personnel, supportive policies, inter-agency coordination and strong leadership commitment [19]. Studies from Latin America indicate that even if governments try to be ambitious in their efforts around how to use AI, poorly developed institutional capacity and technical expertise can become harmful to the long-term sustainability and scalability of governments. These findings reveal an important gap in most developing countries where policies pertaining to digital transformation policies are in place, without any operational frameworks in place [14].

Ethical and governance issues are also well covered in the literature. Issues like algorithmic bias, data privacy, lack of transparency and lack of accountability structures are major risks to AI-assisted public decision-making [20]. According to European Union research, the future of governance structures based on fairness, transparency and human accountability is essential in order to ensure that artificial intelligence is a servant of democracy and not something that undermines democracy [21]. Scholars in Asia and Africa make similar warnings of lowered regulatory regimes creating more freedom for misuse and surveillance of data, and thus, the need for responsible AI to be a critical prerequisite to the successful public sector adoption [22].

The literature points to the fact that AI holds great potential for transformation of government service delivery in terms of increasing efficiency, accuracy, inclusiveness and citizen satisfaction. However, success is determined by addressing the ethical concerns, catalyzing the strengthening of institutional capacity, and adapting the AI strategies to each country's governance context. While developed countries provide high-end implementation models, developing countries are providing more and more innovative solutions that point to AI's adaptability to different institutional settings [14, 22].

METHODOLOGY

Despite the potential of AI to improve performance in the public sector, a large number of governments are struggling to take advantage of the full benefits of AI. Several challenges remain, such as fragmented data systems, poor institutional capacity, pushback on organizational change and privacy and algorithmic fairness concerns. Public service delivery is still sluggish in many contexts, especially in developing countries, where people often have to wait between processing times and bureaucratic levels, and suffer from inconsistent levels of service delivery.

The disconnect between new AI technologies and the adoption of these technologies in government institutions continues to widen. Without a clear strategy, supportive policies and skilled human resources, AI-enabled systems could go underutilized or subpar in terms of implementation. Without a clear strategy, supportive policies and good human resources, AI-enabled systems would go underutilized or subpar in regard to system implementation. There is a need, therefore, to conduct research into the integration of AI in public service delivery, models and practices for replication based on global experience as well as conditions necessary for responsible, efficient and equitable use of AI in governance.

This research offers a response to these concerns by examining the transformative role of AI in government service delivery and identifying barriers to adoption of AI, and making policy recommendations aimed at action. recommendations.

Research Objectives

The following are the key objectives of the research:

To analyses the role of Artificial Intelligence in improving the service delivery of the Government.

To discuss examples of how AI can be used at a global and national level to improve efficacy, transparency and public satisfaction.

To identify administrative, technical and ethical barriers to the adoption of AI in the public sector.

To scrutinize the preparedness of government institutions to undergo AI-driven transformation especially in the developing countries.

To suggest policy measures and strategic frameworks for the proper integration of AI in the government services.

Research Questions

Is AI changing government service delivery across the world?

What specific uses of artificial intelligence can be implemented to improve the performance of the public sector?

What are the challenges and dangers of the application of AI in government institutions?

How to prepare public organizations (structurally, technologically and culturally) to embrace AI?

What are the policy measures to ensure ethical, equitable and effective use of AI in the public services?

Significance and Scope of work

This research has significant significance for policymakers, researchers, and public managers who are keen to understand the changing role of Artificial Intelligence in changing the delivery of government services. The major challenges that the public institutions in most developments confront in most countries are sluggish processing systems, red tape, the lack of adequate human resource and an unstable quality of service delivery. Examining how not just the work processes can be simplified through the use of AIs but also evidence-based decision-making and higher citizen satisfaction, this study can contribute significantly to the understanding of how those countries which are considering updating their administrative framework can achieve them.

Another significance of this paper lies in the nature of the study being comparative and global. The study is a wide ranging study of international best practices through relying on a multiplicity of examples such as those of AI activated chatbots in state service centers in India, automation of civil registration systems in Rwanda, predictive analytics of urban planning in Singapore and artificial intelligence based fraud detection in the United Kingdom. These examples demonstrate how developing and developed nations are applying AI to make their efforts more efficient, transparent and accessible in delivering public services.

Moreover, the study contributes to the scholarly discussion concerning the responsible AI in governance through developing several significant issues, such as data privacy, fairness of algorithms, infrastructure restrictions, and digital literacy gaps. These issues are crucial to consider in organizing real-world structures/system that aims at ensuring ethical and justifiable application of AI in the institutions. The findings of this work, thus, can not only provide the developing nations, that are currently considering the development of basic digital capacity, but also those countries that are more advanced and are considering the development of the even greater models of AI-driven governance mechanisms.

In the end, this paper acts as a panoramic consideration which can be regarded as a guiding tool to the global stakeholders who would like to develop efficient strategies, policy directives, and implementation pathways of AI-based reforms in the public services. It gives a comprehensive insight on the change brought about by AI in the system of governance, and support of citizen centric system of delivering services and also facilitating the transition to a system that is more efficient, transparent and digitally empowered.

Research Design

The proposed study uses a qualitative and exploratory research design in order to examine the way artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming the nature of government service delivery across various governance settings. The use of an exploratory design is appropriate since the transformation of the public sector is powered by AI is a developing field, and there are numerous applications, new tendencies, and various performance in different nations. The study integrates the experience of the whole world, which can generalize a theoretical representation of the opportunities and challenges of AI adoption and the policy implication of the concept.

Research Approach

A mixed source qualitative approach is adopted including:

Document analysis

Comparative case review

Thematic analysis of academic and policy literature

This way, it is possible to gain a deep understanding of how AI innovations are being implemented in government services in developing and developed nations.

Data Sources

The study is completely based on secondary data collected from the reputed sources including:

Peer-reviewed journal articles relating to Public Administration, AI and Digital governance

National AI initiatives, government policy documents, and frameworks for digital transformation

Reports of international organizations: World Bank, UNDP, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, International Telecommunication Union and World Economic Forum

Case studies of AI initiatives that have been deployed in different countries (i.e Estonia, Singapore, India, Rwanda, Brazil, UAE, Pakistan, etc.)

Books, conference proceedings and the publications of credible think-tanks

These sources together are a rich body of evidence from which to analyses global trends and models of implementation.

Sampling Technique

An approach known as "purposive sampling" is used to select examples that:

Represent a wide range of locations/geographic regions

Include nations that are both developing and developed.

Showcase various AI applications, such predictive analytics, digital identification, smart governance, chatbots, automation etc.

Reflect accomplishments, difficulties, or lessons discovered

This keeps the instances relevant to the goals of the study while guaranteeing their diversity and comparability objectives.

Data Collection Method
Data is collected through:
Literature Analysis
A structured search was carried out from databases such as Google Scholar, Scopus, IEEE Xplore and Web of Science. The important keywords included: artificial intelligence, public service delivery, e-governance, digital government, machine learning in the public sector, or AI in developing countries.

Document & Policy Exploration
The official policies on AI and digital transformation at the government level were reviewed to interpret the national priorities that have been implemented as well as mechanism.

Comparative Case Analysis
Selected countries were examined to enable coordinated trends and creative practices and setting disparities in the use of AI.

Data Analysis Technique
The means of data interpretation used in the research is the thematic analysis. The process includes:
Key concept coding of all the sources chosen.
Determination of theme recurrence, e.g. efficiency, transparency, cost reduction, digital inclusion, and ethical considerations.
Improvement of the analysis of themes in different countries to determine similarities and differences.
Construction of analytic categories explaining the transformational influence of AI on service delivery.
Generalization of results in a coherent story.
The thematic approach will allow detecting patterns and insights that cannot be observed in the quantitative analysis.

Reliability and Validity Measures
To have the strength of the findings:
Only authoritative sources (peer-reviewed studies, official arguments and established organizations) are utilized.
Triangulation is used through cross-verifying of information of the various sources.
Case studies are selected according to supranote transparent inclusion criteria.
Results are in contrast to the current theoretical views of digital governance and the innovation within the public sector.
These plans enhance validity and reliability of the study.

Ethical Considerations
The study does not have human subjects or personal information since it only uses secondary data. Nonetheless, academic ethics is adhered to by:
Properly citing all sources
Essential representation of published data.
Eschewing misunderstanding or biased reporting.
The research adheres to the institutional and academic ethical standards of research in totality.

ANALYSIS / EXPERIMENTATION
This section shows a detailed account of the study analysis on the basis of thematic analysis, cross country analysis and document review carried out earlier. The results reflect the changing role of artificial intelligence (AI) in government service delivery in different administrative systems, reflecting both converging global trends and context specific differences.
Automation enabled by artificial intelligence has helped to streamline workflows across countries in the public sector by removing repetitive manual tasks and speeding up the decision-making process. Many governments with especially large demands on their services have turned to robotic process automation (RPA), machine learning verification systems and biometric authentication in order to reduce the bureaucratic burden. This shift is reflected in different national situations. For instance, the usage of automated back-office services as part of the Estonian e-Governance infrastructure means that most public transactions can be carried out without any human intervention; automated identity verification and machine readable registries mean that citizens can handle transactions such as the registration of a business or the filing of taxes in minutes instead of days [23]. In India, Direct Benefit Transfer (DBT) enabled Aadhaar biometric authentication system, which is AI-enabled biometric authentication to verify beneficiaries and reduce duplication, reduces administrative burden and increases the pace of disbursement of social

protection payments [24]. Similar gains have been seen with developing countries that are piloting citizen-service AI solutions. For example, the IremboGov portal in Rwanda combines rule-based automation for issuing of permits and civil registration certificates and limited clerical processing [25].

AI-enabled Interfaces Chatbots, virtual assistants, personalized portals are creating a fundamental shift in the way we provide government services, moving away from a model of government property services, where the service is delivered to customers based on their office location, toward a model of citizen-centered digital services, where the delivery of services is not location-based, but instead follows the needs of the customer. Instead of expecting citizens to work through complicated flows of administrative procedures, governments are employing natural language processing (NLP) and adaptive algorithms to make access easy enough. A noteworthy case is that of the "Ask Jamie" AI chatbot developed and deployed to over 70 government websites in Singapore that personalizes the response, guiding the user's steps through the procedure with high accuracy [26]. On the same note, a Virtual Assistance of Service Canada in Canada is NLP based to ensure that the citizens can access benefits, employment services and immigration-related matters to ensure fewer calls have to be made to call centers and more satisfaction is achieved [27].

Value AI is also being absorbed by the developing countries in the models of citizen centric service. In Bangladesh, there is a program that is known as Aspire to innovate (a2i) via which applications like AI enabled triage are used to allow low-literate populations to navigate the government offerings through voice queries making it way simpler to citizens in rural parts of the nation [28]. These cases present a critical change: Governments are outlining the shift of designing and delivering services grounded on efficiency and transition toward citizen experience, utilizing the power of AI to remunerate or reduce the procedural drag that makes customer service time, make accessibility much more convenient, customize the information channels.

The effect is two-fold in all these diversified scenarios because the administrative tasks become accomplished faster and more accurately and the work of the public officials is relieved to conduct more intricate, discretionary tasks, which need human judgment. All these case studies put forward a similar idea that automation is effective, not merely as an additional technological component, but as a strategic administrative re-design device that can transform workflows around high efficiency digital processes.

Predictive analytics has put a revolution in anticipatory governance as it offers governments a way to get a glimpse into the future on the risks that might emerge. Machine learning facilitates early detection by such areas as public health, environmental management, and disaster response. For example, South Korea's system for analyzing analytics about the Covid-19 pandemic combined data on mobility, hospital entries, and real-time information on the number of cases, to predict infection patterns and inform targeted intervention, which helped to quickly curb the spread in the early waves of the Covid-19 pandemic [28]. In the United States, some cities such as Chicago are using predictive algorithms to identify buildings at high risk of fire, and are able to use this information so that inspectors can focus on the buildings requiring their limited resources and save lives [29]. Likewise, in Kenya, agricultural forecasting using AI coupled with satellite data to help predict the impacts of drought and provide information to guide the distribution of government resources to food security programs [30].

These are just a few examples of how a predictive analytics approach is transforming governance from a reactive management of crisis to a proactive one, enabling the more effective distribution of financial, human, and logistical resources. The in-effectiveness of such systems are, however, highly dependent on the quality of the data, interoperability and institutional readiness to turn the predictions into action.

The applications of AI are creating new layers of transparency by making administrative processes traceable and reducing the amount of discretionary loopholes. Automated audit trails, algorithms for the detection of anomalies and blockchain-backed registries enhance sitting capacity. A prominent case involves cases in Brazil, where the 'Robô de Fraudes' (Fraud Robot), an artificial intelligence application,

is being used to analyze procurement data and to detect and flag suspicious bidding practices.A notable example includes cases in Brazil, where an artificial intelligence application named 'Robojo de Frauds' (Fraud Robot) is being used to analyze procurement data and to identify and flag suspicious bidding patterns, taking the form of audits and prosecutions [31]. The Fortune 500 enterprises in South Korea that are striving to become a sustainable nation are exploiting AI-aided tax auditing systems to filter through numerous financial documents and identify symptoms of conceivable evasion instances to cut down on human bias and enhance fairness [32].

In the developing world, Nigeria applied AI-assisted biometric verification during its national civil service reforms, greatly reducing ghost workers that are people who receive government pay on the government payroll while actually working elsewhere, but duplicate identities of government employees can be detected through facial recognition or fingerprints [33]. Nonetheless, the experience also shows that AI can help to strengthen transparency only when there is the protection of government. Systems may become opaque in themselves, unless there is a legal standard of accountability of the algorithms, and citizens are allowed to view audit trails.

Though there are obvious achievements, the implementation issues remain high, especially in the developing countries. Lack of interoperability, data fragmentation, and low level of digital infrastructure are an incantation of minimal level of AI solution performance. Alex, as an example, the health information system of Uganda is struggling to address convincing data-reporting of the rural clinics that decreases precision in forecasting diseases using AI [34]. On the same note, the governments in Southeast Asia that use AI in monitoring the finances in the country that are on legacy databases report that they have difficulties combining legacy databases that are not in standard formats [35].

Human capacity is considered a systemic bottleneck. Many civil services do not have AI specialists, data engineers, and policy analysts who are able to interpret algorithmic outputs. For example, research on the civil service in Indonesia indicates scarce digital skills among service frontline officials prevent scaling operations of artificial intelligence (AI) empowered administrative tools [36]. As such, the AI adoption is often restricted to pilot projects that are unable to scale for various structural deficiencies. Overcoming these barriers requires sustained investment into data architecture, workforce training, and institutional reform.

While the governments use different strategies to adopt AI, varying from whole of government to piloted implementations the outcomes across contexts tend to converge. Finland, for example, utilises national-level AI strategies to implement machine learning into welfare services, employment matching and municipal management [37]. There are, on the other hand, several developing countries, including Ghana, that implement problem-specific AI tools, for example, machine-learning algorithms for tax compliance or agricultural extension [38]. Despite the variations in scale, both approaches have similar results: service delivery quickens, targeting accuracy improves, manual workloads are reduced and citizen satisfaction improvements are measurable.

These parallels suggest that there are more important secrets to achieving such outcomes: the key is to align to the strategy, the institutional readiness, and selection of well-defined use cases. What does differ is the speed of adoption to develop countries aim to have comprehensive integration of the systems, and the developed ones on high-impact areas.

Instead of displacing wholesale workforce, artificial intelligence is transforming the work of the public sector through some other mechanism; it is the spark of a complete reinvention of the job description. Automated systems are increasingly involved in routine clerical tasks and at the same time, a greater relevance has been given to the necessity of digital literacy, ethical control, and socio-technical judgment. An example of this shift is the United Kingdom distinctly, in the example of the National Health Service (NHS): AI-based triage can reduce the administrative pressure on the institution, hence allowing clinicians and nurses to focus more on interacting with patients and making complex clinical decisions [39]. At the same time, the Government of the United Arab Emirates established systematic AI training programs, such as the UAE AI Camp, to reskill the civil servants and

familiarize them with the hybrid human-AI streams of work [40].

The changing trends in the public-sector workforce are also depicted in low- and middle-income countries. When the Huduma Centres in Kenya adopted digital automation, they re-designed the staff roles and instead of simply processing documents, they began attending to citizens and offering digital services [41]. All these examples indicate that AI does not replace people in the government but rather forces such organizations to organize staffing based on competencies, which integrates individual analytical, technological, and interpersonal competencies.

FINDINGS/ RESULTS

Administrative burden is decreasing drastically, processing speeds are accelerating:

AI-based automation has lowered administrative overheads and cut processing times in a broad variety of government services: where human-checks used to create bottlenecks, machine learning prediction systems, robotizing process control and biometric authentication can now tackle the routine checks and the manual handling of repetitive tasks at scale. This in practice translates into functions like identity verification, document verification, and eligibility verification that took days or weeks to previously be done by clerical personnel are now done in a matter of seconds or minutes allowing personnel in the public to devote their time to exception management and more challenging judgment decisions. Such a shift can be observed in national digital identity systems based on automated biometric a matching to pay benefits in accordance with business and permit applications with minimal human input, and back-office case-routing systems, which are programmed up to give priority first to urgent files. Importantly, the increased efficiency is not merely a technological one; this has also organizational merits: by organizing the processes in such a way that they are automated, governments will achieve, by far the reduction of the bands on the time spent on queues, the decrease of the cost of transactions, and even an increase of the throughput which can be measured even when the government acts within strict budgets. In this sense, automation is a productivity lever, and a catalyst of administrative redesigns which alter the organization of work instead of slightly accelerating the same processes.

AI is ushering in a paradigm of digitally centric service delivery:

The implementation of conversational agents, customized portals and intelligent navigation software represent the beginning of a radical transformation in terms of how governments traditionally had to interact with their citizens, where citizens were expected to learn the ins-and-outs of government policies, governments are now using AI to meet their citizens on the platform of their preference and personalize responses depending on individual conditions. Such technologies offer natural-language instructions, pre-empt most frequent user requests, and offer various complicated queries to the human officer in case of need, which effectively lowers the friction cost of access and may enhance perceived quality of service. To reduce the barriers to participation, simple messaging interfaces and voice-enabled assistants reduce the barriers to participation of marginalized or low-literacy populations, whereas recommendation systems and predictive forms save on time and effort to use, in digitally savvy consumers. In addition to convenience, this transition is more equitable as it makes services more visible and accessible both over time and across devices where episodic and location-specific service interaction is converted into ongoing and convenient experiences. Simply put, AI is helping governments to transform their interaction with citizens into the perspective of transactional bureaucracy to active service-oriented interaction.

Evidence-based governance and risk aversion is being facilitated by predictive analytics:

Predictive analytics has facilitated a significant shift in the direction of anticipatory governance by enabling institutions of the state to identify new trends and devote resources to them, before they turn into actual problems. Machine-learning model, where traditional governance reacted when a problem was evident, was trained on various data sets, health history, environmental monitoring, mobility, and social economics data, which detects targeted early warning of an epidemic, environmental damage, or food-security threat. Such

ability to predict allows taking proactive policy measures that may be vaccination campaigns, pre-positioning relief supplies, or crackdown on high-risk environmental areas, which may decrease response time and avert negative consequences. Importantly, the importance of predictive systems is not solely in their projection, but in the ability of governments to convert their projections into operation plans, cross-agency coordination and budget changes; predictive analytics integrated with decision protocols should be viewed as a mean of allocating resources smarter and as the building block of resiliency. Predictions however, can be based upon the quality of data and institutional processes to address the limitations of knowledge heavy framing to the attractiveness of predictive governance when the above conditions are poor.

The problem with artificial intelligence is that it is increasing transparency and curbing corruption but only in cases where it is controlled:

AI-based transparency instruments such as the monitor and audit tools can make it more transparent by making it auditable, and it can show non-transparent discretionary choices as well as show red flags of fraud or corruption. Records, algorithms and automated audits will also be available with blockchain-locked records providing traceability so that the insurers and citizens can be able to tell that they have gone through the proper procedures and that the funds were disbursed in an appropriate manner. These technologies are applied together with open-data portals and explanatory interfaces and ensure the increased accountability of the activities of the population by disclosing trends that are difficult to identify. But the probability of AI being anti-corruptive is conditional: absent transparency and clear legislative frameworks, external supervisory institutions and access to various audit trails, automated technology presents another form of a black box which in fact makes the system less transparent. Also, poorly or biased algorithms may promote bias implementation or develop false positives resulting in a lack of trust. In this way, AI improves transparency by enhancing transparency within environments that coincide technical equipment with governance reforms, data access rules, explainability demands, and institutions that can then take measures concerning what is found by algorithms.

There are still barriers to implementation particularly on the governance of data and human resource capacity:

Under despite the proven benefits, the magnitude and durability of AI in the government are limited by structural obstacles that remain persistent. The primary one of them inappropriate data governance and capacity of workforce. Various government agencies are in the business of using fragmented and siloed data that cannot be standardized or interoperable meaning it is hard to consolidate the high-quality data AI models demand. The performance of models and maintenance is further undermined by legacy IT systems, weak data-entry habits, and a small amount of metadata documentation. At once, the under-supply in the c-suite workforce of data scientists, AI engineers, and trained program managers to convert such technical outputs into policy interventions is common in the public sector; institutional career tracks and training opportunities in these disciplines are young in a range of administrations. These problems are compounded by financial and infrastructural constraints, lack of cloud capacity, untrustworthy connectivity as well as strained procurement policies. Many initiatives therefore hit pilot phases or do not scale since the facilitating ecosystem is not complete. To overcome these shortcomings, investments in data architecture, capacity building and procurement restructuring must be organized to approach AI as an organizational change and not as a technological acquisition of a commodity.

Adoption rates also vary by country, yet the results are similar:

Comparative analysis of the adoption patterns across the countries showed that, despite style, AI works to deliver results in the form of faster services, greater accessibility and relevance in decision-making, and administrative traceability. Systemic integration with national strategies, interoperable digital IDs, and built-in ethical guidelines is a frequent goal of the developed countries, and allows it to be widely, multi-sector, rolled out. Third world nations, in turn, often tend to invest in high-impact, small-scale

projects (such as health supply logistics or benefit targeting) that offer quick, visible returns and provides proof-of-concept. The dynamics into which the difference is embedded signify that, the net effect of size and complexity can be compared to resource endowment alone above reliant much on grand strategy fit and contextual design, although, the scarcity of resources and reality of resource scarcity receives more attention. The trend indicates that the benefits of AI can be repeated in the other settings despite the projects operating on factual issues that are encountered in the administration and should be justified with the realistic governance terms.

AI wiping out the Public Sector labor force at a slow pace:

AI infiltration in the area of public administration is not only modifying the job content and competency requirements but also is raising the demand of interpretive, normative and interpersonal skills such as contextual judgment, ethical management, stakeholder interaction, and complex resolution. This new shift in paradigm is resulting in the design of hybrid human-AI workflows in which an algorithm subsists triage, form patterns and synthesize data, and human employees retain the final decision making authority and discretion in the cases where ambiguity or value are implicit. Reskilling the workforce should be proactive in terms of reskilling the workers, recruitment practices that will enable hiring of data literate professionals to civil service and RF Ladders that will pay integrated technical-policy skills. Other systemic transformations (which have an equal role) include institutional changes involving team structures combining data scientists with policy units, governance systems to regulate the effect of algorithms, and metrics that promote adaptive learning and collaboration. Finely, AI is not necessarily a replacement of labor, but a driver that reorganizes the essence of working in the public sector and the skills that are needed to provide the public with value in the digital era.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

According to the results and discussion, the following policy recommendations are offered to help governments especially in developing nations reconcile competent and accountable AI adoption in state organizations provision of services:

Governments ought to facilitate specific national AI strategies backed up by legal and regulatory frameworks, which stipulate accountability, data safeguards, transparency, and morality business practices. Such frameworks are needed to establish explainable AI, independent and accountable oversight, and systems of redress to citizens in order to have confidence in automated decision-making.

Good AI systems need good quality and inter-operable data. The governments need to invest in standardized data, cross-agency data sharing practices and safe digital infrastructure. To enhance data consistency, quality and availability, centralized data governance authorities could be established to support the public-sector data.

Sustainable use of AI is dependent on human capacity. The governments need to initiate AI literacy in civil servants, introduce specialized AI jobs in the public sector, and re-architecture training systems to allow human-AI workflows to exist. Collaboration between the universities and the private sector can be used to address the skill gaps.

The design of AI programs must be citizen-focused that is, it should be easy to access, use and inclusive. Governments would wish to give the priority to those applications that will help in solving the actual service delivery needs like health, welfare needs, and local administration especially to under-served people.

Governments must develop systematic growth patterns of successful AI projects to prevent the stagnation at the pilot phase. This involves explicit performance measures, impact measures, as well as institutional learning and replication sector wide.

The principles of Ethical AI should be implemented by means of practical tools, e.g., algorithmic impact assessments, bias audits, and the publicity of automated decision processes. The monitoring of AI systems and relevant enforcement of the same should be delegated to the independent audit institutions.

Governments should work in conjunction with innovators in the private sector, global institutions and partner countries to exchange the good practices, tap technical knowhow, and resource mobilization. Learning across borders has the

potential to transform developing nations to digital transformation levels.

CONCLUSION

The aim of this study was to explore how the application of the artificial intelligence is revolutionizing the delivery of government services in the developing and the developed nations in a comparative and thematic approach. The discussion reveals that AI is no longer an experimental or secondary tool in the work of the entire administration, but is a strategic tool that is transforming the way of administrative efficiency, citizen attention, transparency and responsiveness to policy lines. The automation of administration has reduced the amount of work in all forms of the administration system, accelerated the handling of a service, and allowed the development of government institutions to include the refocusing of their human resources to more valuable tasks. This can be seen not only in digital mature but also the developing countries which have in the best case been found to roll out AI in areas that can most affect service delivery.

The findings also show that paradigm shift of governance models whereby bureaucratic rule-characterized systems of governance has developed to citizen-based and digitized models of services. Access and inclusiveness regarding the platforms are now far more effective with the interfaces, predictive analytics, and personalized basis that are driven by AI particularly to those groups that have historically been locked out of the formal administrative structures. The ability of foreseeable governance has prompted governments to go beyond reactive governance that has spationed the process of identifying risk and distributing the government resources proactively. However, in the given research, it is also stated that the advantages of AI are not direct and evenly distributed, the use of AI depends on whether the institution is ready to implement them, the quality of the data and the ability to regulate AI.

It is important to note that the transparency and accountability levels can only be improved by the AI in case it is capable of doing so. With the perfect ethical system, the presence of the regulatory body, and the institutional accountability, AI is useful in reducing corruption and promoting trust in the economy. Conversely, the existence of a weak governance system poses the threat of turning the AI systems into black box decision making systems that are not accountable. The conversation also suggests that there are certain inherent difficulties involved particularly in developing economies and linked to data management, skills deficiency, and inadequate infrastructure coupled with ethics protection as well. Such problems limit scalability and sustainability, and in most cases, downgrade AI projects to pilot projects. In this paper, it is established that AI is a game changer in modernizing the public sector but the success will be hinged on a holistic approach to governance, that is, integrating technology with institutional modernization, human capacity building and ethical governance. Business organizations and government bodies perceiving AI as a socio-technical shift and not as a technological one offering more chances of sustainable developments in service delivery and value generation to the people have higher chances of success in using AI.

REFERENCES

United Nations. (2022). UN E-Government Survey 2022: The Future of Digital Government. Department of Economic and Social Affairs.

World Bank. (2020). Digital Government Transformation: Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Better Public Services. Washington, DC: World Bank Group

United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). (2021). AI for Public Service Delivery: Global Trends and Country Experiences. UNDP Digital Office.

Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Society. OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/eedfee77-en

Munir, Muhammad Sohail, et al. "Use of Different Machine Learning Algorithms for Hate Speech Detection." 2022 International Conference on Cyber Resilience (ICCR). IEEE, 2022.

Mikalef, P., Krogstie, J., Pappas, I. O., & Pavlou, P. (2021). Exploring the capabilities and performance benefits of artificial intelligence in public organizations. Government Information Quarterly, 38(3), 1–10.

Wirtz, B. W., Weyerer, J. C., & Geyer, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence and public management: An overview of applications and challenges. International Journal of Public Administration, 42(7), 596–615.

Drechsler, W., & Madise, Ü. (2020). The Estonian e-state: e-government and digital public administration. Government Information Quarterly, 37(4), 1–7.

Tan, B. (2020). Smart Nation Singapore: Leveraging AI for Public Sector Innovation. Asian Journal of Public Administration, 42(1), 56–72.

UK Government Office for AI. (2021). AI in the Public Sector: Applications and Opportunities. London: Government Digital Service.

Bhargava, A., & Bansal, A. (2021). Artificial Intelligence in Public Service Delivery: Lessons from India's Digital Platforms. Journal of E-Governance, 44(2), 73–89.

Heeks, R. (2022). Digital Governance in Developing Countries: Challenges and Opportunities. Information Technology for Development, 28(1), 1–20.

Fountain, J. E. (2020). Automation and the Future of Public Administration. Public Administration Review, 80(4), 610–622.

Sherazi, Hafiz Husnain Raza, Sharif Arif, Muhammad Sohail Munir, Mubashir Ali, Bilal Hassan, and Yusra Siddiqi. "Utilizing Internet of Things for Automating Food Security and Savvy Agriculture: A Review." In 2023 28th International Conference on Automation and Computing (ICAC), pp. 1-6. IEEE, 2023.

Kim, S., & Lee, J. (2021). AI-enabled citizen participation platforms: Impacts and challenges. International Review of Administrative Sciences, 87(3), 543–560.

Government of Canada. (2022). AI for Public Safety and Emergency Management. Ottawa: Government of Canada.

UNECA. (2021). AI for Agriculture and Food Security in Africa. United Nations Economic Commission for Africa.

O'Neil, C. (2016). Weapons of Math Destruction: How Big Data Increases Inequality and Threatens Democracy. Crown Publishing.

Scott, M., & Batty, E. (2020). Digital transformation in government: A capability framework. Public Management Review, 22(5), 708–732.

Zhang, B., Dafoe, A., & Raji, I. (2020). Ethical and governance challenges of AI in the public sector. AI & Society, 35(4), 961–972.

Floridi, L., & Cowls, J. (2019). A unified framework of five principles for AI in society. Harvard Data Science Review, 1(1), 1–15.

Munir, Muhammad Sohail, and Muhammad Hamid. 2025. "Dissecting Generation Gaps in Cybersecurity Practices". Information Sciences With Applications 8 (November): 28-42. https://doi.org/10.61356/j.iswa.2025.8602

Vassil, K. (2015). Estonian e-government ecosystem: Architecture, adoption and impact. Information Polity, 20(3), 315–329.

Sinha, A. (2019). Aadhaar and the transformation of welfare delivery in India. Journal of Development Studies, 55(7), 1341–1357.

Mukamurenzi, S., & Grönlund, Å. (2021). Digital government transformation in Rwanda. Government Information Quarterly, 38(4), 101577.

GovTech Singapore. (2022). Ask Jamie: Intelligent conversational government. Singapore Government Press.

Treasury Board of Canada Secretariat. (2021). AI and service delivery modernization in Canada. Government of Canada.

Park, S., Choi, G., & Ko, H. (2020). Data-driven pandemic governance in South Korea. Journal of Asian Public Policy, 13(3), 245–257.

Keller, J. (2019). Predictive public safety in Chicago. Public Administration Review, 79(2), 205–217.

World Bank. (2021). AI for agriculture and food security in Kenya. Washington, DC.

de Sousa, L., & Ferreira, A. (2020). Algorithmic fraud detection in Brazilian public procurement. Government Information Quarterly, 37(4), 101488.

Lee, J., & Kim, S. (2021). AI-driven tax compliance in Korea. International Review of Public Administration, 26(1), 55–70.

Okonjo-Iweala, N. (2018). Fighting corruption through biometrics: The Nigerian experience. International Journal of Public Administration, 41(5), 421–430.

Wamala, D., & Kigozi, J. (2019). Challenges of health data quality in Uganda. African Journal of Information Systems, 11(1), 1–15.

ASEAN Secretariat. (2020). AI and digital governance readiness in Southeast Asia. Jakarta: ASEAN Publications.

Purnomo, P., & Ramdhani, M. (2021). Digital skills gaps in Indonesia's public sector workforce. Journal of Asian Public Policy, 14(3), 351–368.

OECD. (2021). State of implementation of national AI strategies. Paris: OECD Publishing.

Boateng, R. (2022). AI-enabled public sector innovation in Ghana. Information Systems Journal, 32(4), 789–812.

Topol, E. (2019). Preparing the healthcare workforce for AI: The Topol Review. NHS England.

UAE Government. (2020). AI talent development in the UAE public sector. UAE Ministry of Artificial Intelligence.

Mwangi, M., & Wairire, G. (2021). Digital transformation and role redefinition in Kenya's Huduma Centres. Public Management Review, 23(5), 712–729.